Retinoic acid receptor is required for anti-activator protein-1 activity by retinoic acid in gastric cancer cells

WU Qiao , ZHANG Mingqing , LIU Su , CHEN Yuqiang and SU Wenjin

Objective   To investigate the role of retinoic acid receptor (RAR) in mediating inhibitory effect of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) on activator protein-1 (AP-1) activity in gastric cancer cells.

Methods   Transient transfection and chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) assay, Northern blot, gene transfection, 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay, and anchorage-independent growth assay were used.

Results   Transient transfection of RAR expression vector into MKN-45 cells resulted in the RAR concentration-dependent repression of AP-1 activity induced by 12-o-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA), regardless of the presence of ATRA. When the c-jun and c-fos expression vectors were cotransfected with the RAR expression vector into MKN-45 cells, AP-1 activity was also obviously repressed. The inhibitory effect, again, was RAR -concentration-dependent. The stable transfection of the RAR gene into MKN-45 cells led to cell growth inhibition and colony formation inhibition by ATRA. Furthermore, Cotransfection of both RAR / DNA binding domain (DBD) and reporter gene could not alter AP-1 activity, even in the presence of ATRA. However, when the cotransfection was substituted with the RAR / ligand binding domain (LBD), the inhibition was significantly enhanced by ATRA.

Conclusion   RAR might be required for anti-AP-1 activity, and contribute to growth inhibition of gastric cancer cells by ATRA.

Chin Med J 2002; 115(6): 810-814

Retinoic acids (RAs), a group of natural and synthetic vitamin A analogs, exert anticancer effect on many types of cancer cell lines, including gastric cancer cell lines. The effect of RAs is mainly mediated by retinoic acid receptors (RARs) and retinoid X receptors (RXRs). The two receptors are encoded by three corresponding distinct genes, , and .[1] Retinoic acid receptor (RAR), an RAR subtype, plays an important role in mediating RA effect. The fact that RAR is not expressed in lung and breast cancer cell lines implies that it might possess a tumor suppressive effect, and the lack of RAR might facilitate tumor development.[2,3] Thus, the RAR gene could be considered as a promising candidate of tumor suppressor gene.

We have reported that one of the anticancer mechanisms of RAs in gastric cancer cells is via the inhibition of activator protein-1 (AP-1) activity.[4] AP-1, which relates to tumor progression, consists of products of the proto-oncogenes c-jun and c-fos. Many of the AP-1 responsive genes are involved in cancer cell proliferation and transformation.

Products of c-jun and c-fos form homodimers (cJun/cJun) or heterodimers (cJun/cFos), and bind to the AP-1 binding sites on their response genes, such as those of the 12-o-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) response element and collagenase promoter.[5] In the present study, the role of the RAR in mediating inhibitory effect of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) on AP-1 activity was investigated in a gastric cancer cell line. The data indicated that RAR could effectively repress AP-1 activity induced by

Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Cell Biology and Tumor Cell Engineering, the School of Life Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China (Wu Q, Liu S and Su WJ)

PLA 175 Hospital, Zhangzhou 363000, China (Zhang MQ)

PLA 174 Hospital, Xiamen 360000, China (Chen YQ)

Correspondence to: Wu Qiao, Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Cell Biology and Tumor Cell Engineering, the School of Life Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China (Tel: 86-592-2182542 or 86-592-2187959. Fax: 86-592-2086630. Email: xgwu @xmu.edu.cn)

TPA in a concentration-dependent manner, or c-jun and c-fos transfection, resulting in the growth inhibition by ATRA on gastric cancer cells. In addition, the functional domain of RAR critical to the inhibitory effect on AP-1 activity was analyzed, which was found to be located in the DNA binding domain (DBD) of RAR. Taken together, our results demonstrated that RAR was required for anti-AP-1 activity, and contributed to the anticancer effect of ATRA on gastric cancer cells.

METHODS

Cell lines and culture conditions
The human gastric cancer cell line MKN-45 from Japan was maintained by the Institute of Cell Biology, Shanghai, China. HeLa cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were routinely maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (for MKN-45 cells) or DMEM medium (for HeLa cells), respectively, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 1 mmol/L glutamine, and 100 U/ml penicillin, and further treated with 1×10^{-6} mol/L ATRA for 24 hours and/or 100 ng/ml TPA for 6 hours as required.

Reporter and plasmids
The reporter -73collagenase-chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (-73Col-CAT) contained the CAT gene linked with a collagenase promoter containing an AP-1 binding site located between residues -73 and -63. This reporter had usually been used for measuring AP-1 activity. Other expression vectors were described previously.[4,6]

RNA preparation and ! Northern blot
The total RNA was prepared by the guanidine hydrochloride/ ultracentrifugation method. About $30 \mu g$ total RNA of each sample was electrophoretically fractionated on 1% agarose, then transferred to nylon, and probed with ^{32}p-dATP and ^{32}p-dCTP labeled probe RAR as previously described.[3] The quantity of sample RNA could be referred to the 28S and 18S fractions of each band.

Transient transfection and CAT assay
Cells were seeded in six-well plates and were approximately 70% confluent at the time of transfection. Cells were transfected by CeLLFECTINtm (Gibco/BRI Life Technologies) according to the following procedure: $6 \mu l$ CeLLFECTINtm in 1.0 ml standard medium was added to each well containing 1.0 ml of standard medium supplemented with -73Col-CAT reporter plasmid (100 ng), -galactosidase expression vector (400 ng, pCH110, pharmacia), and other expression vectors. CAT activity was determined using ^{3}H-acetyl-CoA as substrate. -galactosidase

activity was measured to normalize transfection efficiency.[2,3]

Stable transfection
The sense-RAR expression vector was stably transfected into gastric cancer cells MKN-45 by CeLLFECTINtm (Gibco/BRI Life Technologies), and then screened with $400 \mu g/ml$ of G418 (Sigma). Expression of endogenous RAR was determined by Northern blot.

3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay
Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate (1000 cells per well), and treated with 1×10^{-6} mol/L ATRA (Sigma). Medium supplemented with ATRA was changed once every day. Nine days later, cells were stained with 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Sigma) for 3 - 4 hours, and their viability was examined by MTT assay.[3]

Anchorage-independent growth assay
An underlayer of 0.5% agar in medium supplemented with 10% FCS was first prepared and hardened in a 6-well plate. 1×10^5/ml cells, culture medium containing 10% FCS, 0.3% agar, and 1×10^{-6} mol/L ATRA (only for experimental groups), were then seeded onto the underlayer. The plate was incubated for three weeks in a CO_2 incubator. Colonies with diameter $> 80 \mu m$ were counted under microscope.

RESULTS

Stable transfection of RAR gene into MKN-45 cells
RAR was expressed in many gastric cell lines.[4] But MKN-45 cells, a gastric cancer cell line, did not express RAR as shown by Northern blot (and thus being used as negative control). By transfecting a relevant empty vector into MKN-45 cells, one of the resulting clones (MKN/vector) did not express RAR, and the expression could not be induced by ATRA (being adopted as positive control). One of the clones obtained after transfection with the expression vector of sense-RAR into MKN-45 cells (MKN/RAR) did stably express RAR mRNA, although its expression level was not affected by ATRA[4] (data not shown).

Effect of all-trans retinoic acid on AP-1 activity and cell growth inhibition in MKN/RAR cells
AP-1 activity in MKN/RAR cells was examined by CAT assay and compared with that in MKN-45 (negative control) and MKN/vector (positive control) cells. Fig. 1 shows cells treated with TPA induce a relatively strong CAT activity in MKN/RAR, MKN/vector and MKN-45 cells. The CAT

activity was suppressed by ATRA in MKN/ RAR , but not in MKN/ vector and MKN45 cells , suggesting that the RAR gene and its expression might play a role in the inhibition of AP-1 activity.


Fig. 1. -73Col- CAT reporter is transfected into relevant cells , MKN-45 , MKN/ vector and MKN/ RAR cells. After transfection, cells are treated with either TPA alone or with ATRA. Cells are harvested , and then CAT activity is detected by CAT assay. Data represent the mean of duplicate experiment (× SD).

Further studies on growth inhibition examined by MTT assay and anchorage-independent growth in soft agar were carried out. ATRA could effectively inhibit the growth of MKN/ RAR cells , with the inhibitory rate of 37. 2 %.[4] However , its parental cells MKN-45 and positive control MKN/ vector cells did not show any significant response to ATRA treatment , with the inhibitory rates being 3. 89 % and 5. 31 % , respectively. The growth of MKN/ RAR cells in soft agar was clearly affected by ATRA , with more than 90 % inhibition under ATRA treatment. However , the growth of MKN-45 cells and MKN/ vector cells showed a much lower response to ATRA treatment , with less than 20 % inhibition. These results were in accordance with those of AP-1 activity inhibited by ATRA as shown in Fig. 1 , implying that RAR is an important mediator for ATRA with regard to the anti-AP-1 activity and growth inhibition of gastric cancer cells.

Effect of exogenous RAR on anti-AP-1 activity

To further clarify the role of RAR in mediating inhibition of AP-1 activity , various concentrations of RAR expression vectors were transiently transfected into MKN-45 cells that did not express RAR . CAT assay showed that TPA induced high CAT activity without transfection of the RAR expression vector , and ATRA treatment resulted in weak reduction of CAT activity. However , when the RAR expression vector was transiently transfected into cells , a relatively strong inhibition on CAT activity induced by TPA was observed after ATRA treatment (Fig. 2). The inhibition of CAT activity by RAR was concentration-dependent. More

than 40 % inhibition of CAT activity was seen when transfected with 100 ng RAR .

Fig. 2. Effect of exogenous RAR on CAT activity induced by TPA. Various concentrations of RAR expression vector is co-transfected with -73Col- CAT reporter into MKN-45 cells. After transfection, cells are treated with either TPA alone or with ATRA. Cells are harvested , and then CAT activity is detected by CAT assay. CAT activity in two independent experiments is shown (× SD).

Effect of RAR on AP-1 activity originated from exogenous c-jun/ c-fos

Our previous observation showed that in MKN-45 cells the expression level of c-jun was very low , and c-fos was not expressed , as revealed by Western blot.[4] Since the products of the c-jun and c-fos genes are components of the AP-1 protein , both c-jun and c-fos expression vectors were transiently transfected into MKN-45 cells. As expected , the CAT activity was induced obviously by c-jun and c-fos transfection in the absence of TPA. However , the inhibition of CAT activity was only observed when the RAR expression vector was cotransfected with c-jun and c-fos expression vectors , and the strength of inhibition was positively correlated with RAR concentration (Fig. 3). This was in accordance with the above-mentioned results observed in MKN-45 cells transfected only with RAR .

DNA binding domain of RAR is required for anti-AP-1 activity

Two expression vectors of RAR mutant genes were used for the localization of the functional domain of RAR in relation to its anti-AP-1 activity. These mutants are characterized by their special deletions , one with its DNA-binding domain (DBD) deleted (RAR / DBD-) , and the other with its ligand-binding domain (LBD) deleted (RAR / LBD-). HeLa cells do not express RARs and RXRs , and therefore are usually used for the measurement of AP-1 activity.[6] As shown in Fig. 4 , when HeLa cells were transfected with the RAR / DBD- expression vector , no significant alteration of CAT activity induced by TPA could be seen , even in the presence of ATRA , suggesting that the deletion of DBD may

cause RAR to be ineffective in mediating the inhibition of CAT activity. DBD, thus, was required for RAR in mediating the inhibition of AP-1 activity. However, inhibition of CAT activity induced by TPA was detected when transfected with the RAR /LBD⁻ expression vectors in the absence or presence of ATRA. This observation was similar to that of the parental RAR receptor, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3, indicating that deletion of LBD might not be responsible for the inhibition of AP-1 activity. Taken together, these results suggested that the DNA binding domain rather than the ligand binding domain was necessary for RAR to inhibit AP-1 activity.


Fig. 3. Effect of RAR on AP-1 activity originated from exogenous c-jun and c-fos. Various concentrations of RAR expression vector is cotransfected with both -73Col-CAT reporter and c-jun/c-fos expression vectors into MKN-45 cells. After transfection, cells are treated with ATRA. Cells are harvested, and then CAT activity is detected by CAT assay. The mean of CAT activity in two independent experiments is shown (× SD).


Fig. 4. Effect of two RAR mutants, DNA binding domain deleted (RAR /DBD⁻) and ligand binding domain deleted (RAR /LBD⁻), on CAT activities. Data represents the mean of duplicate experiment (× SD).

DISCUSSION

Retinoic acid exerts its anticancer effect through various ways, including the induction of apoptosis and inhibition of cell growth and AP-1 activity. RA activities are mediated by RARs and RXRs. Accumulated data have indicated that RAR plays a critical role in regulating proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis in cancer cells. In human lung cancer cell lines and primary human cancer tissue, abnormal expression of the RAR gene rather than that of other RAR subtypes has been frequently detected.[7] The loss of RAR expression is an early event in carcinogenesis, and is involved in the process of cancer development.[8] However, the mechanisms of RAR for anti-AP-1 activity is still largely unknown.

Gastric cancer is one of the major lethal malignant diseases in China. We have found that ATRA could inhibit the growth of gastric cancer cells in vitro by modulating the cell cycle,[9] and suppress the metastasis of gastric cancer cells from spleen to liver in vivo.[10] We have demonstrated in this study that the inhibition of gastric cancer cell growth by ATRA relies on its repression of AP-1 activity via expressed RAR . Gastric cancer cells MKN-45 which do not express RAR could not be inhibited by ATRA. However, the transfection of the RAR gene into MKN-45 cells might lead to growth inhibition in both cell proliferation and colony formation in soft agar by ATRA, suggesting that RAR plays an important anticancer effects in gastric cancer cells.

The inhibitory function of ATRA on AP-1 activity is one of the mechanisms by which ATRA exhibits its hindrance on gastric cancer cell growth.[4] Transient transfection of the RAR expression vector into MKN-45 cells results in a significant and RAR concentration-dependent repression of AP-1 activity induced by TPA, regardless the presence of ATRA. AP-1 is composed of products of the oncogenes c-jun and c-fos, and the resultant AP-1 activity is closely related to tumor development.[5] When the c-jun and c-fos expression vectors were cotransfected with the RAR expression vector into MKN-45 cells, AP-1 activity was also obviously repressed, and the inhibitory effect was RAR -concentration-dependent. Taken together, these data strongly support that RAR might be required for the inhibition of AP-1 activity in gastric cancer cells.

RARs and RXRs are members of the steroid/thyroid hormone receptor superfamily that function as ligand-activated transcriptional factors.[1-3] The DNA-binding domain targets the receptor onto the response element (RE) of its response genes. Once bound to RE, the receptor may respond to its signal, e.g. ATRA, through the ligand-binding domain. The LBD functions as a modulator unit through which the transcriptional activities of the receptor could be regulated by its lidand.[11] Cotransfection of both RAR /DBD⁻ and the reporter gene could not obviously alter the CAT activity induced by TPA, and this CAT activity was only weakly inhibited even in the presence of ATRA. In other words, the

deletion of DBD⁻ caused RAR to be ineffective in mediating the inhibition of CAT activity , and DBD⁻ was therefore required for RAR in mediating the inhibition of AP-1 activity by ATRA. Once the cotransfection was substituted with the RAR/LBD⁻ and reporter gene , not only did an inhibition of TPA-induced CAT activity occur , but also the inhibition was significantly enhanced by ATRA. It meant that there might be no essential difference between RAR/LBD⁻ and intact RAR with regard to their inhibitory effect , and thus , LBD⁻ might not be necessary for the inhibition mediated by RAR . These results suggest that the mechanism for AP-1 activity inhibition mediated by the retinoic acid recepter is different from the direct interaction between the RAR and the retinoic acid response element (RARE) ,[12] and relies on protein-protein interaction.

Certain intracellular common coactivators are involved in the molecular basis of repression of AP-1 transcriptional activity mediated by nuclear receptors.[13] The cAMP responsive element binding protein (CREB-binding protein) can be expressed by CBP whose complex has been found to be a common coactivator. The N-terminal of CBP interacts with LBD of RAR in a ligand-dependent fashion , forming a ternary complex of RAR-CBP , resulting in ligand-dependent transcriptional activation by RAR. CBP is also the coactivator required for transcriptional activation by AP-1 and CREB , but the amount of CBP is limited in cells. Therefore , the process of ligand-dependent RAR transcriptional activation is determined by its competition in CBP binding against other cellular factors , such as AP-1 and CREB. Thus , clarification of such mechanism should be of great importance.

REFERENCES

1. Petkovich M , Brabd NJ , Krust A , et al. Human retinoic acid receptor which belongs to the family of nuclear receptors. Nature 1987 ;330 :444-450.
2. Wu Q , Li Y , Liu R , et al. Modulation of retinoic acid sensitivity in lung cancer cells through dynamic balance of orphan receptors nur77 and COUP-TF and their heterodimerization. EMBO J 1997 ;16 :1656-1669.
3. Wu Q , Marcia ID , Zheng Y , et al. Inhibition of trans-retinoic acid-resistant human breast cancer cell growth by retinoid X receptor-selective retinoids. Mol Cell Biol 1997 ;17 :6598-6608.
4. Wu Q , Chen ZM , Su WJ. Mechanism of inhibition on AP-1 activity by ATRA in gastric cancer cells. Chin Med J 2000 ;113 : 977-980.
5. Angel P , Marin M. The role of jun , fos and the AP-1 complex in cell proliferation and transfomation. Biochem Biophys Acta 1991 ; 1072 :129-157.
6. Yang-Yen HF , Zhang XK , Graupner G , et al. Antagonism between retinoic acid receptors and AP-1 : implications for tumor promotion and inflammation. New Biol 1991 ;3 :1206-1219.
7. Gebert JF , Moghal N , Frangioni JV , et al. High frequency of retinoic acid receptor abnormalities in human lung cancer. Oncogene 1991 ;6 :1859-1868.
8. Xu XC , Sozzi G , Lee JS , et al. Suppression of retinoic acid receptor in non-small-cell lung cancer in vivo : implications for lung cancer development. J Natl Cancer Inst 1996 ;89 :624-629.
9. Chen ZM , Wu Q , Su WJ. Relationship between CDKs and CKIs in regulation of cell cycle progression of human gastric cancer cells. Chin J Biochem Mol Biol 2000 ;16 :128-132.
10. Chen YQ , Wu Q , Chen ZM , et al. Effect of all-trans retinoic acid on growth of xenograft tumor and its metastasis in nude mice. Chin Med J 2000 ;113 :345-349.
11. Barry MF , Kazuhiko U , Jasmine C , et al. Unique response pathway are established by allosteric interactions among nuclear hormone receptors. Cell 1995 ;81 :541-550.
12. Anissa A , Shealy YF , Hill DL , et al. Retinyl methyl ether down-regulates activator protein 1 transcriptional activation in breast cancer cells. Cancer Res 1997 ;57 :3444-3450.
13. Yasutomi K , Lan X , Thorsten H , et al. A CBP integrator complex mediates transcriptional activation and AP-1 inhibition by nuclear receptors. Cell 1996 ;85 :403-414.

Chinese abstracts of original English papers

EB 病毒诱导人鼻咽上皮细胞逃避老化期的生物学研究

Epstein-Barr virus induces human nasopharyngeal epithelial cells to escape from the replicative senescence

Chin Med J 2002; 115(6):803-809

湖南医科大学肿瘤研究所 长沙 410078　　　杨　静　唐发清　顾焕华　邓锡云　翁新宪　唐　敏　曹　亚

目的　我们利用与鼻咽癌密切相关的 EB 病毒和 TPA 的协同作用,观察原代人胚鼻咽上皮细胞逃避老化期后其生物学特性的变化。

方法　应用相差显微镜观察人鼻咽上皮细胞在 EB 病毒和 TPA 感染后形态上的变化,同时通过检测群体倍增检测细胞体外培养寿命的变化。我们应用老化相关半乳糖苷酶(SA-β-Gal)染色检测 SA-β-Gal 活性的表达情况,并利用免疫组化测定 p16^{INK4a}蛋白的表达。此外,我们用免疫荧光染色法检测 EB 病毒 LMP1 是否在 EB 病毒和 TPA 感染的细胞中有所表达。

结果　EB 病毒感染的人胚鼻咽上皮细胞在原代培养后期 SA-β-Gal 活性表达降低(4.8%),形态上发生了改变,出现转化灶样集落,其体外培养寿命延长,并且不表达抑瘤基因产物 p16^{INK4a}。

结论　EB 病毒和 TPA 的协同作用能促使部分原代人胚鼻咽上皮细胞逃避老化期,进入永生化早期阶段。这些研究资料为进一步阐明上皮细胞永生化的分子机制、建立人鼻咽上皮细胞永生化模型提供实验依据。

关键词　EB 病毒　　鼻咽上皮细胞　　老化期　　永生化

视黄酸通过视黄酸 β 受体调节胃癌细胞的抗激活蛋白-1 活性

Retinoic acid receptor β is required for anti-activator protein-1 activity by retinoic acid in gastric cancer cells

Chin Med J 2002; 115(6):810-814

厦门大学 生命科学学院 细胞生物学与肿瘤细胞工程教育部重点实验室 厦门 361005　　　吴　乔　刘　苏　苏文金

中国人民解放军第 175 医院 漳州 363000　　　张鸣青

中国人民解放军第 174 医院 厦门 360000　　　陈玉强

目的　研究视黄酸 β 受体(RARβ)介导全反式视黄酸(ATRA)抑制激活蛋白-1(AP-1)活性的作用。

方法　瞬时转染和 CAT 测定,RNA 印迹,基因转染,MTT 测定,停泊非依赖性生长测定。

结果　瞬时转染 RARβ 表达载体到 MKN-45 细胞中,不论 ATRA 存在与否,TPA 诱导的 AP-1 活性受到明显抑制,并与 RARβ 浓度正相关。当 c-jun 和 c-fos 表达载体与 RARβ 表达载体一起转染到 MKN-45 细胞中,由 c-jun 和 c-fos 引起的 AP-1 活性被抑制,而且与 RARβ 浓度呈正比。当 RARβ 在 MKN-45 细胞中稳定表达时,ATRA 可以抑制 MKN-45 细胞生长及其在软琼脂中的生长。当 RARβ 的 DNA 结合区(RARβ/DBD⁻)剔除后,ATRA 不能抑制 TPA 诱导的 AP-1 活性。但是,当 RARβ 的配体结合区(RARβ/LBD⁻)被剔除时,ATRA 可以

显著抑制 TPA 诱导的 AP-1 活性。

结论 RARβ 对 AP-1 活性的抑制是必需的,并且与 ATRA 抑制胃癌细胞生长密切相关。

关键词 视黄酸 β 受体　　激活蛋白-1　　全反式视黄酸　　胃癌细胞

产志贺样毒素大肠埃希菌的分子生物学鉴定

Identification of Shiga-like toxin Escherichia coli isolated from children with diarrhea by polymerase chain reaction

山西省儿童医院分子微生物室 太原 030013　　朱庆义 李连青

中国军事医学科学院微生物流行病研究所 北京 100071　　郭兆彪 杨瑞馥

目的 探讨产志贺样毒素大肠埃希菌(SLTEC)在小儿腹泻中的病原学地位。

方法 根据肠出血性大肠埃希菌(EHEC)O157:H7 SLT1、SLT2、eaeA 基因片段设计了 3 对引物,采用 PCR 法检测大肠埃希菌中 SLT1、SLT2、eaeA 毒力基因。

结果 29 株标准致泻大肠埃希菌(EHEC、EPEC、EIEC)和 10 株其它肠道病原菌中,只有 1 株 EHEC 检出 SLT1、SLT2、eaeA 毒力基因,其它均为阴性。从 1032 例腹泻患儿粪便中分离的 474 株未定型大肠埃希菌中,检出 SLT1 20 株(4.2%),SLT2 7 株(1.5%);74 株产志贺样毒素侵袭性大肠埃希菌(ESIEC)中检出 SLT1 15 株(20.3%),SLT2 5 株(6.8%)。

结论 SLTEC 是太原地区引起小儿腹泻的一种重要的致泻病原菌。

关键词 产志贺样毒素大肠埃希菌　　腹泻　　聚合酶链反应

精神分裂症患者海马 parvalbumin-免疫反应神经元密度选择性减低

A selective reduction in the relative density of parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurons in the hippocampus in schizophrenia patients

南京医科大学附属脑科医院精神科 210029　　张志珺 孙　静

英国谢菲尔德大学生物医学系　　Gavin P Reynolds

目的 以钙结合蛋白-parvalbumin 和 calretinin 为标记,确定海马本体 γ-氨基丁酸能神经元亚群,并定量分析精神分裂症海马 γ-氨基丁酸能中间神经元相对密度的改变及其在发病机理中的作用。

方法 用免疫组化法结合抗 parvalbumin 和抗 calretinin 抗体,测定这些钙结合蛋白免疫反应阳性细胞在精神分裂症和相匹配的正常对照(每组 15 例)海马齿状回和 CA1 – CA4 区的分布、胞体大小、相对密度及海马亚区面积。

结果 与正常对照相比,精神分裂症患者 calretinin-免疫反应中间神经元相对密度无显著性差异;而 parvalbumin-免疫反应中间神经元密度于海马各亚区均严重缺失,以男性患者为著,且与抗精神病药物治疗、年龄、疾病持续时间无显著相关。

结论 精神分裂症患者海马区含 parvalbumin 的 γ-氨基丁酸能抑制性中间神经元亚群选择性丢失,并可能